



Manjinder Singh,  
R/o 2469, Sunny Enclave,  
Sector-125, Kharar,  
Distt. –Mohali 140301 (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Bathinda(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh.

... Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 4597 of 2019**

Present : Sh. Manjinder Singh, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Amarjit Singh, Data Entry Operator(without any authority letter), on  
behalf of the respondent

**ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 27.08.2019. First appeal is dated 12.10.2019.  
Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 10.12.2019.

Sh. Amarjit Singh, Data Entry Operator, who appeared without any  
authority letter in today's hearing, submits a reply vide letter no. 3642 dated 21.10.2019  
signed by Public Information Officer-cum-Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,  
Bathinda, showing that in response to the RTI request, a reply was sent to the  
appellant, Sh. Manjinder Singh intimating him that the information sought for by him is  
voluminous in nature and he can collect the required information after inspecting the  
relevant official record. It is taken on record.

The appellant, Sh. Manjinder Singh, who appeared in person in today's  
hearing, states that through RTI request, he has demanded only serial numbers of  
driving training certificates for the month of July, August and September of 2017 not any  
documents.

The respondent PIO concerned is directed to appear in person before the  
Commission to represent this case on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this  
case. A copy of this order be sent to him through registered post.

The case is **adjourned to 19<sup>th</sup> May, 2020 (Tuesday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date :16<sup>th</sup> March, 2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

Manjinder Singh,  
R/o 2469, Sunny Enclave,  
Sector-125, Kharar,  
Distt. –Mohali 140301(Punjab)

...Appellant

(Regd. Post) V/s.  
Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Faridkot (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh.

... Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 4598 of 2019**

Present : Sh. Manjinder Singh, the appellant in person.  
None on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 27.08.2019. First appeal is dated 12.10.2019.  
Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 10.12.2019.

The appellant, Sh. Manjinder Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO.

Neither the respondent PIO nor any of his representative is present in today's hearing. He has also not sent any intimation regarding his non-availability, moreover, the requisite information has not been supplied by the respondent PIO to the information seeker even after a period of five months.

**In view of the above, a show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act is issued to Public Information Officer concerned of office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.**

The PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte. **A copy of this order be sent to him through registered post .**

The respondent PIO concerned is directed to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post at his residential address before the next date of hearing and produce a copy of the postal receipt, through which information would be sent to the appellant, before the Commission on the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information or not. He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned. He is also advised to represent his case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.

The case is **adjourned to 19<sup>th</sup> May, 2020 (Tuesday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date :16<sup>th</sup> March, 2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

Manjinder Singh,  
R/o 2469, Sunny Enclave,  
Sector-125, Kharar,  
Distt. –Mohali 140301(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Jalandhar (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh.

... Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 4599 of 2019**

Present : Sh. Manjinder Singh, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Vikram Singh, Clerk, on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 23.08.2019. First appeal is dated 05.10.2019.  
Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 10.12.2019.

The appellant, Sh. Manjinder Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO.

Sh. Vikram Singh, Clerk, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that the requisite information could not be supplied to the appellant, Sh. Manjinder Singh as he has failed to deposit the required fee of Rs. 792/-, which was demanded from him vide dated 12.03.2020.

The respondent PIO has sent a reply vide letter no. 3516 dated 12.03.2020 signed by Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Jalandhar, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, intimating the Commission that the requisite information could not be supplied to the appellant, Sh. Manjinder Singh as he has failed to deposit the required fee of Rs. 792/-, which was demanded from him vide dated 12.03.2020. It is taken on record.

The appellant is advised to deposit the required fee to the office of PIO concerned and take certified copies of the requisite information. The respondent PIO concerned is also directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant after receiving the required fee from him.

The case is **adjourned to 19<sup>th</sup> May, 2020 (Tuesday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date :16<sup>th</sup> March, 2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

Sarabjeet Singh Gill,  
R/o House Number 60-35-P-376-1,  
Street Number 08, Maha Singh Nagar,  
P.O. Dhandari Kalan, Ludhiana -141014(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana(Punjab)

Public Information Officer,  
(Regd. Post) O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation,  
(Tehbazari Branch), Ludhiana(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana(Punjab)

... Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 4613 of 2019**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.

- i) Sh. Satish Kumar, Assistant Town Planner ;
- ii) Sh. Nirbhai Singh, A. S. I. of M.C. Police Deptt., for the respondent.

**ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 16.09.2019. First appeal is dated 21.10.2019. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 11.12.2019.

The appellant, Sh. Sarabjeet Singh Gill is absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission.

Sh. Satish Kumar, Assistant Town Planner, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submits a copy of reply vide letter no. 441 dated 04.03.2020, showing that a reply in connection with point no. 04 of the RTI request has already been given to the appellant, Sh. Sarabjeet Singh Gill through registered post and other information at point no. 01, 02 and 03 of RTI request does not relate with Zone – C. It, alongwith a copy of postal receipt, is taken on record. .

Sh. Nirbhai Singh, A. S. I. of M.C. Police Deptt, who appeared in today's hearing, states that the information sought through RTI request does not relate with the office of Deputy Superintendent of Police office of M.C. Police Deptt.

After examining the documents placed on record, it emerges that the remaining information relates with office of Tehbazari Branch, accordingly, a copy of this be sent with RTI request to the PIO of Tehbazari Branch, M.C., Ludhiana, with the directions to provide the required information whichever query/queries relates to his office, to the appellant through registered post, before the next date of hearing. He is also directed to appear in person before the Commission to represent this case on the next date of hearing.

The case is **adjourned to 19<sup>th</sup> May, 2020 (Tuesday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

(Sanjiv Garg)

State Information Commissioner

Date : 16<sup>th</sup> March, 2020 Encl.: RTI Request

Sarabjeet Singh Gill,  
R/o House Number 60-35-P-376-1,  
Street Number 08, Maha Singh Nagar,  
P.O. Dhandari Kalan,  
Ludhiana -141014(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation,  
Ludhiana(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation,  
Ludhiana(Punjab)

... Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 4614 of 2019**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
Sh. Amandeep Singh, Junior Engineer, on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 16.09.2019. First appeal is dated 21.10.2019.  
Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 11.12.2019.

Sh. Amandeep Singh, Junior Engineer, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Sarabjeet Singh Gill. He also states that he has also brought a copy of the requisite information in the Commission.

The appellant, Sh. Sarabjeet Singh Gill is absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission.

The respondent PIO concerned is directed to send the requisite information to the appellant through registered post/in person at his residential address and produce a copy of the postal/acknowledgement receipt in the Commission on the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information (which would be sent by respondent PIO) or not. He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned by the next date of hearing. He is also advised to represent this case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.

The case is **adjourned to 19<sup>th</sup> May, 2020 (Tuesday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date :16<sup>th</sup> March, 2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

Sarabjeet Singh Gill,  
R/o House Number 60-35-P-376-1,  
Street Number 08, Maha Singh Nagar,  
P.O. Dhandari Kalan,  
Ludhiana -141014(Punjab)

...Appellant

(Regd. Post) V/s.  
Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation,  
Ludhiana(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation,  
Ludhiana(Punjab)

... Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 4615 of 2019**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
None on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 26.09.2019. First appeal is dated 26.10.2019.  
Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 11.12.2019.

The appellant, Sh. Sarabjeet Singh Gill is absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission.

Neither the respondent PIO nor any of his representative is present in today's hearing. He has also not sent any intimation regarding his non-availability, moreover, the requisite information has not been supplied by the respondent PIO to the information seeker even after a period of four months.

**In view of the above, a show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act is issued to Public Information Officer concerned of office of Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.**

The PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte. A copy of this order be sent to him through registered post .

The respondent PIO concerned is directed to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post at his residential address before the next date of hearing and produce a copy of the postal receipt, through which information would be sent to the appellant, before the Commission on the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information or not. He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned. He is also advised to represent his case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.

The case is **adjourned to 19<sup>th</sup> May, 2020 (Tuesday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date :16<sup>th</sup> March, 2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

Sarabjeet Singh Gill,  
R/o House Number 60-35-P-376-1,  
Street Number 08, Maha Singh Nagar,  
P.O. Dhandari Kalan,  
Ludhiana -141014(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation,  
Ludhiana(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation,  
Ludhiana(Punjab)

... Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 4617 of 2019**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
i) Dr. Vipin Malhotra, Health Officer ;  
ii) Sh. Satinderjit Bawa, Sanitary Inspector, on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 18.09.2019. First appeal is dated 21.10.2019.  
Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 11.12.2019.

The appellant, Sh. Sarabjeet Singh Gill is not present in today's hearing.

Dr. Vipin Malhotra, Health Officer, who appeared in today's hearing with  
Sh. Satinderjit Bawa, Sanitary Inspector, submits a reply vide letter no. 6377 dated  
13.03.2020, showing that the requisite information has already been supplied to the  
appellant, Sh. Sarabjeet Singh Gill vide letter no. 6376 dated 13.03.2020. On that reply,  
the appellant has put his signatures on 12.03.2020 as an acknowledgement of having  
received the requisite information with satisfaction. It is taken on record.

Since the information stands supplied, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date :16<sup>th</sup> March, 2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**

Yogesh Bakshi,  
R/o House Number 1615,  
Street Number 03,  
New Chander Nagar,  
Civil Lines, Ludhiana-141008(Punjab)

...Appellant

(Regd. Post) V/s.  
Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation, Zone-D,  
Ludhiana(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation, Zone-D,  
Ludhiana(Punjab)

... Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 4634 of 2019**

Present : Sh. Yogesh Bakshi, the appellant in person.  
None on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 23.09.2019. First appeal is dated 24.10.2019.  
Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 10.12.2019.

The appellant, Sh. Yogesh Bakshi, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO.

Neither the respondent PIO nor any of his representative is present in today's hearing. He has also not sent any intimation regarding his non-availability, moreover, the requisite information has not been supplied by the respondent PIO to the information seeker even after a period of four months.

**In view of the above, a show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act is issued to Public Information Officer concerned of office of Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Zone-D, Ludhiana, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.**

The PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte. A copy of this order be sent to him through registered post.

The respondent PIO concerned is directed to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post at his residential address before the next date of hearing and produce a copy of the postal receipt, through which information would be sent to the appellant, before the Commission on the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information or not. He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned. He is also advised to represent his case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.

The case is **adjourned to 19<sup>th</sup> May, 2020 (Tuesday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date :16<sup>th</sup> March, 2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

Ms. Navjeet Kaur,  
R/o House Number 43,  
Mohalla Darapur,  
Near Session Chowk,  
Hoshiapur-146001(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Director,  
Technical Education and  
Industrial Training Department,  
Sector 36-A, Plot Number 1,  
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Director,  
Technical Education and  
Industrial Training Department,  
Sector 36-A, Plot Number 1,  
Chandigarh.

... Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 4657 of 2019**

Present : Ms. Navjeet Kaur, the appellant in person.  
Ms. Jaswinder Kaur, Lecturer(Inf. Tech.)-cum-APIO, on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 18.09.2019. First appeal is dated 31.10.2019. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 16.12.2019.

Ms. Jaswinder Kaur, Lecturer(Inf. Tech.)-cum-APIO, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that in response to the RTI request, the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant, Ms. Navjeet Kaur.

The appellant, Ms. Navjeet Kaur, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that incomplete information has been supplied to her by the respondent PIO as through RTI request, she has demanded listing of vacant posts not cancellation of advertisement.

Last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post at her residential address before the next date of hearing and produce a copy of the postal receipt, through which information would be sent to the appellant, before the Commission on the next date of hearing.

The appellant is advised to confirm whether she has received the information (which would be supplied by the respondent PIO) or not.

The case is **adjourned to 19<sup>th</sup> May, 2020 (Tuesday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date :16<sup>th</sup> March, 2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

Ms. Navjeet Kaur,  
R/o House Number 43,  
Mohalla Darapur,  
Near Session Chowk,  
Hoshiapur-146001(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Director,  
Technical Education and  
Industrial Training Department,  
Sector 36-A, Plot Number 1,  
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Director,  
Technical Education and  
Industrial Training Department,  
Sector 36-A, Plot Number 1,  
Chandigarh.

... Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 4660 of 2019**

Present : Ms. Navjeet Kaur, the appellant in person.  
Ms. Jaswinder Kaur, Lecturer(Inf. Tech.)-cum-APIO, on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 18.09.2019. First appeal is dated 31.10.2019.  
Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 16.12.2019.

Ms. Jaswinder Kaur, Lecturer(Inf. Tech.)-cum-APIO, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that in response to the RTI request, the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant, Ms. Navjeet Kaur.

The appellant, Ms. Navjeet Kaur, who appeared in person in today's hearing, through a letter dated 16.03.2020 has acknowledged that she has received the requisite information and satisfied with the same and asked for filing of this case. It is taken on record.

As the appellant has given her consent to dispose the case, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :16<sup>th</sup> March, 2020

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab



Manohar Lal  
S/o Sh. Sadhu Singh,  
R/o H. No. 1560, Ward Number 01,  
Diyalpur Gate, Kartarpur,  
Distt. Jalandhar (Punjab)

...Complainant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Principal,  
Aasra College of Engineering  
And Technology,  
Bhawanigarh, Sangrur (Punjab)

... Respondents

### **Complaint Case No. 1071 of 2019**

Present : Sh. Manohar Lal, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Vikas Goyal, HOD(Electronics), on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 16.09.2019. The complaint has been received in the Commission on 12.12.2019.

The complainant, Sh. Manohar Lal, appeared in person in today's hearing.

Sh. Vikas Goyal, HOD(Electronics), who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submits a reply vide letter no. 6328 dated 13.03.2020 signed by Principal, A. C. E. T. (Polytechnic Wing), Nadampur, Bhawanigarh, intimating the Commission that 'Aasra College of Engineering and Technology' does not fall under the purview of the RTI Act as it is a self financed private body and non-aided private institution and does not cover under the provisions of Section 2 (h) of the RTI Act. It is taken on record.

As the respondent institute is not 'public authority' and does not come under purview of the RTI Act, hence, the case is announced as **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date :16<sup>th</sup> March, 2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**

Manohar Lal  
S/o Sh. Sadhu Singh,  
R/o H. No. 1560, Ward Number 01,  
Diyalpur Gate, Kartarpur,  
Distt. Jalandhar (Punjab)

...Complainant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Director,  
Technical Education and  
Industrial Training Department,  
Sector 36-A, Plot Number 1,  
Chandigarh

... Respondents

### **Complaint Case No. 1073 of 2019**

Present : Sh. Manohar Lal, the appellant in person.  
i) Ms. Sarabjit Kaur, Section Officer-cum-APIO ;  
ii) Sh. Navjot Singh, Clerk, on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 16.09.2019. The complaint has been received in the Commission on 12.12.2019.

Ms. Sarabjit Kaur, Section Officer-cum-APIO, who appeared in today's hearing with Sh. Navjot Singh, Clerk, states that a reply, vide letter no. 3004 dated 30.09.2019, has already been given to the complainant, Sh. Manohar Lal. Afterwards, the complainant has pointed certain deficiencies through letter dated 26.11.2019, which have also been removed vide letter no. 3758 dated 23.12.2019. It, alongwith annexure, is taken on record.

The complainant, Sh. Manohar Lal, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that complete information at point no. 03 of the RTI request has not been provided to him by the respondent PIO till date.

Ms. Sarabjit Kaur, Section Officer, states that remaining information at point no. 03 of the RTI request would be provided to the complainant positively and seeks an adjournment in this case.

An opportunity is given to the complainant to intimate the Commission whether he has received the remaining information at point no. 03 of the RTI request (which would be supplied by respondent PIO) or not, by the next date of hearing.

The case is **adjourned to 19<sup>th</sup> May, 2020 (Tuesday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date :16<sup>th</sup> March, 2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

Manohar Lal  
S/o Sh. Sadhu Singh,  
R/o H. No. 1560, Ward Number 01,  
Diyalpur Gate, Kartarpur,  
Distt. Jalandhar (Punjab)

...Complainant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Principal,  
Abohar Polytechnic College,  
Abohar, Distt. Fazilka (Punjab)

... Respondents

### **Complaint Case No. 1075 of 2019**

Present : Sh. Manohar Lal, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Abhinav, Dealing Hand , on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 16.09.2019. The complaint has been received in the Commission on 12.12.2019.

Sh. Abhinav, Dealing Hand, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that a reply, vide letter no. 193 dated 05.02.2020, has already been given to the complainant, Sh. Manohar Lal.

The complainant, Sh. Manohar Lal, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that he has received the above-mentioned reply. He also through a letter dated 16.03.2020, has asked for filing of this case. It is taken on record.

As the appellant has given his consent to dispose the case, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :16<sup>th</sup> March, 2020

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Manohar Lal  
S/o Sh. Sadhu Singh,  
R/o H. No. 1560, Ward Number 01,  
Diyalpur Gate, Kartarpur,  
Distt. Jalandhar (Punjab)

...Complainant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Principal,  
Guru Gobind Singh Polytechnic College,  
KHARAR(Punjab)

... Respondents

### **Complaint Case No. 1076 of 2019**

Present : Sh. Manohar Lal, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Ranjit Singh, Advocate, on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 16.09.2019. The complaint has been received in the Commission on 12.12.2019.

Sh. Ranjit Singh, Advocate, appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing.

The complainant, Sh. Manohar Lal, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally gave his consent to close this case.

As the appellant has given his consent to dispose the case, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date :16<sup>th</sup> March, 2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**

Kamal Kishore Shukla,  
R/o H. No. 500,  
Sector-20-A, Chandigarh

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Director, Technical Education  
and Industrial Training Department,  
Punjab, Sector 36-A, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Director,  
Technical Education and  
Industrial Training Department,  
Punjab, Sector 36-A, Chandigarh

... Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 4661 of 2019**

Present : Sh. Kamal Kishore Shukla, the appellant in person.  
i) Sh. Rupinder Singh, Deputy Director ;  
ii) Sh. Sukhjinder Singh, Junior Assistant, on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 12.09.2019. First appeal is dated 24.10.2019. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 16.12.2020.

Sh. Rupinder Singh, Deputy Director, who appeared in today's hearing with Sh. Sukhjinder Singh, Junior Assistant, states that the information sought by the appellant through RTI request could not be supplied to him as it is 'third party' information.

The appellant, Sh. Kamal Kishore Shukla, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that through RTI request, the information sought by him is about his wife and due to old age and health problems, her wife (Ms. Kusum Lata) has duly authorized him to seek her information and to represent this case before the authorities concerned. He also hands over a copy of the authority letter to representatives of the respondent during the hearing and also submits a copy to the Commission, which is taken on record.

Accordingly, an opportunity is given to the respondent-PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant, as per queries raised by him through his RTI request, through registered post and submit a copy of the postal receipt, (through which information would be sent to the Appellant) on the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information (which would be supplied by respondent PIO). He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned by the next date of hearing.

The case is **adjourned to 19<sup>th</sup> May, 2020 (Tuesday) at 11:00 A. M.**  
Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date : 16<sup>th</sup> March, 2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**

Sanjeev Thakur,  
R/o Village Gudha Khurd,  
P.O. Madhopur Cantt.,  
Pin:-145024  
Tehsil – Pathankot,  
Distt. - Gurdaspur(Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Gurdaspur(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector-17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 4644 of 2019**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
Sh. Gurpreet Singh, Steno, on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 09.09.2019. First appeal is dated 15.10.2019.  
Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 13.12.2019.

The appellant, Sh. Sanjeev Thakur, through a letter dated 14.03.2020, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, has intimated the Commission that that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO till date. It is taken on record.

Sh. Gurpreet Singh, Steno, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submits a reply vide letter no. 9148 dated 13.03.2020 signed by Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Gurdaspur, showing that in response to the RTI request, a reply has already been given to the appellant, Sh. Sanjeev Thakur vide letter no. 2185 dated 04.10.2019, intimating him that the information sought for by him is voluminous in nature and if he would be more specific for the required information, the same would be given to him positively. It, alongwith annexure, is taken on record.

The appellant is advised to visit the office of the respondent PIO concerned to inspect the relevant official record on 08.04.2020 at 11:00 A. M. to specify the required information.

The respondent PIO concerned is directed to facilitate the appellant to inspect the relevant official record on 08.04.2020 at 11:00 A. M. so that the appellant could specify the required information.

The respondent-PIO is directed to supply the required information, which would be specified by the appellant after inspection, to him before the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information (which would be supplied by respondent PIO). He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned by the next date of hearing. He is also advised to represent this case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.

The case is **adjourned to 19<sup>th</sup> May, 2020 (Tuesday) at 11:00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date :16<sup>th</sup> March, 2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

Rajesh Gupta,  
C/o Civil Veterinary Hospital,  
V. P. O. Karoran, Tehsil – Kharar,  
District S. A. S. Nagar - 160103 (Punjab) ...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Director,  
Animal Husbandry, Punjab  
Livestock Bhawan, Sector-68,  
S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

First Appellant Authority,  
O/o The Director,  
Animal Husbandry, Punjab  
Livestock Complex, Sector-68,  
S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab) ... Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1281 of 2018**

Present : Sh. Rajesh Gupta, the appellant in person.  
Dr. K. P. S. Pasricha, PIO, in person.

**ORDER**

This case was last heard on 11.02.2020 and respondent handed over 224 pages of information to the appellant during the hearing and the appellant sought an adjournment to go through the supplied information. The appellant was directed to point deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied and the respondent PIO was directed to supply the information to the appellant through registered post, after removing the deficiencies, if any, pointed out by the appellant and to appear in person alongwith postal receipt.

The appellant, Sh. Rajesh Gupta, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that through a letter dated 12.02.2020, he has pointed deficiencies, in the replies already given to him to the respondent PIO, which have not been removed.

Dr. K. P. S. Pasricha, PIO, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that in response to the queries raised by the appellant through RTI request, complete information, after collecting from the various branches, has been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Rajesh Gupta time and again as per available official record. He also submits a reply vide letter no. 71 dated 09.03.2020, showing that in reply to letter dated 12.02.2020, sent by the appellant through which he has pointed out deficiencies, a detailed reply has already been sent to the appellant through registered post. He also hands over a copy of the same to the appellant during the hearing in the Commission today. It, alongwith a copy of postal receipt, is taken on record.

Moreover, on the hearing, held on 15.07.2019, both the parties were present and detailed discussion was done on various queries, raised by the appellant through RTI request and directions were given to the respondent PIO to supply the required information to the appellant at the points, on which he (appellant) has shown his dissatisfaction and the case was adjourned for 04.09.2019.

On the hearing, held on 04.09.2019, both the parties were present and the appellant stated that information at point no. A (2) (b) is pending and directions were given to the respondent PIO to supply the required information to the appellant at point no. A (2) (b) of the RTI request and the case was adjourned for 13.11.2019, which was further postponed for 28.11.2019.

On the hearing, held on 28.11.2019, both the parties were present and the appellant again stated that incomplete information has been given to him and directions were given to the respondent PIO to file a detailed point-wise proper reply to each and every query, raised by the appellant through his RTI request as per official record and the case was adjourned for 22.01.2020.

On the hearing, held on 22.01.2020, both the parties were present and the appellant again stated that incomplete information has been given to him and directions were given to the respondent PIO to file a detailed point-wise proper reply in the shape of an affidavit to each and every query, raised by the appellant through his RTI request as per official record and the case was adjourned for 11.02.2020.

On the hearing, held on 11.02.2020, both the parties were present and the respondent had handed over 224 pages of information to the appellant during the hearing and the appellant sought an adjournment to go through the supplied information. The appellant was directed to point deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied and the respondent PIO was directed to supply the information to the appellant through registered post, after removing the deficiencies, if any, pointed out by the appellant and to appear in person alongwith postal receipt and the case was adjourned for today i. e. 16.03.2020.

In today's hearing i. e. 16.03.2020, the appellant again states that no information has been given to him by the respondent PIO till date.

After going through the queries raised through RTI request by the appellant, Sh. Rajesh Gupta and the replies placed in the case-file, given by the respondent PIO on various hearings, held in this case, it emerges that the required information, after collecting from the various branches, has already been supplied by the respondent PIO to the appellant as per official record time and again and if any information is not found available in the official record, proper replies were been given by the respondent PIO to the appellant time and again.

As the appellant is still stating that no information has been given to him by the respondent PIO till date, however, the case-file shows that 224 pages of information was handed over to the appellant by the respondent to the appellant during the hearing, held on 11.02.2020 and the appellant himself has stated that through a letter dated 12.02.2020, he has pointed deficiencies, in the information/reply already given to him to the respondent PIO, which reflects that he is trying to delay the instant appeal case by unnecessarily stretching the case further on baseless grounds.

As the required information as per official record has been supplied in the instant appeal case and if any information is not found available in the official record, proper replies have also been given to the appellant, hence, no more pleadings/arguments/additional grounds is required to be entertained in this particular case, accordingly, the case is announced as **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date :16<sup>th</sup> March, 2020**

**(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**